

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/808,290 | 03/25/2004 | Yves Geoffroy | 0563-1034 | | |
| 466 | 7590 09/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| YOUNG & | THOMPSON | MILLER, CARL STUART | | | |
| | 23RD STREET 2ND FLO | OOR | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ARLINGIO | N, VA 22202 | | 3747 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | -/ / / | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | 1001 | | | | |
| | 10/808,29 | 90 | GEOFFROY, YVE | .ġ [,] | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Carl S. M | | 3747 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | unication appears on the | cover sheet with the c | correspondence ad | dress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD | FOR REPLY IS SET T | O EXPIRE 3 MONTH | S) FROM | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event of the state of the sta | ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o | y. ommunication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) | filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is n | on-final. | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the pra | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the | application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte | d to by the Examiner. N | ote the attached Office | e Action or form P | TO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None o 1. △ Certified copies of the prio 2. □ Certified copies of the prio | f: rity documents have be rity documents have be | en received. en received in Applica | tion No | J Stone | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified cop | | | ed in this Nationa | i Stage | | | | |
| application from the Internet | • | | red. | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office a | Guon for a list of the cer | uneu copies not receiv | GU. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | _ | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | (270.045) | 4) Interview Summar | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie | | Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal | | ΓO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> . | | 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/808,290

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, a review of the specification (at page 7, line 16) appears to make clear that the word "optionally" in claim 1 is meant to be – optimally --. This apparent error is also found at page 3, line 21 of the specification. The use of the word "optionally", of course, is possible but it is unlikely that this is what applicant meant to say. If applicant does wish to say this and continues to use the original term then a rejection under the first paragraph of 112 may follow.

Finally, the use of the phrase "in particular" in claim 2 should be eliminated.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marc (Fr ('465)).

In particular, the applicant admits (page 2 of specification) that this reference takes measurements along the entire conduction time curve and then uses these to predict current flow at the end of the period. The <u>entire</u> curve <u>would</u> include the last <u>tenth</u> of the period.

The examiner wants to note that minor changes in claim 1 would avoid this rejection in future actions. In particular he suggests adding the phrase – but before the end of the predetermined conduct in time – at the end of line 12, adding the word – only – after "if" in line 14, changing "measurement(s) to –measurement or measurements – ,adding – during said last tenth- - at end of line 13 and changing "optionally" to – optimally – in line 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,290

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marc in view of Iyoda.

Marc applies as noted and Iyoda teaches the use of both speed and coil potential to determine conduction times for a primary coil. Since these parameters are always used in the art (as are tables to store their values) it would have been obvious to store the parameters in a table in Marc.

Claims 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marc.

In particular, linear extrapolation of data samples is a known and common statistical technique used by those of ordinary skill in the art and this technique would have been obvious to use for the data samples of Marc.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached at 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,290

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Miller Primary Examiner